Advisory Action	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	10/020,744	WEISE, JON				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edgardo San Martin	2837				
The MAILING DATE f this communication appe	ars n the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of the	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr	on. See MPEP opriate extension			
ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final ling date of the final reje	Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25,27,29-43</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	-{/· //				
10. Other:	Ty.	DAVID MARTIN				
		SORY PATENT EXAM NOLOGY CENTER 28				
	14011					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: the Examiner considers that the proposed Amendment does not depart the claimed subject matter from the scope of the obvious combination of Urawa and Nakano teachings. The Examiner considers that the Urawa and Nakano teachings' scope involve the independence of the ear protection devices one from each other, including their independent operation.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/020,744	10/30/2001	Jon Weise	973-001	9689	
7	590 06/07/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Ward & Olive			i, EDGARDO		
Suite 300 382 Springfield	l Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Summit, NJ (2837	.	
DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004		4			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.